

Bender–Dunne Orthogonal Polynomials, Quasi–Exact Solvability and Asymptotic Iteration Method for Rabi Hamiltonian

S.–A. Yahiaoui*, **M. Bentaiba†**

LPTHIRM, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences,
Université Saad DAHLAB de Blida, Blida, Algeria

March 17, 2010

Abstract

We present a method for obtaining the quasi–exact solutions of the Rabi Hamiltonian in the framework of the asymptotic iteration method. The energy eigenvalues, the eigenfunctions and the associated Bender–Dunne orthogonal polynomials are deduced. The latter prove to have a nonpositive definite norm.

PACS Numbers: 02.30.Mv; 03.65.Ge; 04.20.Jb; 31.15.-p; 42.50.Ct.

Keyword: Quasi–Exact solvability, Rabi Hamiltonian, Asymptotic iteration method, Bender–Dunne orthogonal polynomials.

1 Introduction: formal aspects

A new class of potentials which are intermediate to exactly solvable (ES) ones and non solvable ones are called quasi–exactly solvable (QES) problems [1, 2, 3, 4]. It is well known that a part of their spectrum can be determined algebraically but not whole spectrum. One of an alternative mathematical language for describing the QES systems is a remarkable set of orthogonal polynomials introduced by Bender and Dunne [5]. These polynomials satisfy the three–term recursion relation and, as a consequence, form an orthogonal set with respect to some weight function $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ [6, 7]. The exact solution of the Schrödinger equation takes the form:

$$\chi(x; \mathcal{E}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(x) \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}), \quad (1)$$

*E-mail: s_yahiaoui@univ-blida.dz

†E-mail: bentaiba@univ-blida.dz

in which $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ denotes certain polynomials of $\mathcal{E}$ and satisfy [8, 9]:

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}_k) = 0; \quad n \geq \Lambda + 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \Lambda. \quad (2)$$

where the parameter Λ is a positive integer value. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ are orthogonal:

$$\int \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}) \rho(\mathcal{E}) \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E} = p_n p_m \delta_{nm}, \quad (3)$$

where p_n represent the norms of the orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$. It is possible to determine the norms p_n (or squared norms $\gamma_n = p_n^2$) by multiplying the recursion relation by $\mathcal{E}^{n-1} \rho(\mathcal{E})$ and to integrate with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.

However, the search of the orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ can be generated by means of the asymptotic iteration method (AIM) [10]. This procedure was first introduced as an approximation in order to deduce both energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, using some computer-algebra systems. Due to the simplicity of the procedure, various aspects of the method have been employed, successfully, to obtain both ES and QES problems [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The systematic procedure of the AIM begins by rewriting a second-order linear differential equation in the following form:

$$\chi''(x) = r_0(x) \chi'(x) + s_0(x) \chi(x), \quad (4)$$

with $r_0(x)$ and $s_0(x)$ are many times differentiable functions. In order to find the solution of Eq.(4), we rely on the symmetric shape of the right-hand side. Thus for $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ and $(n+2)^{\text{th}}$ derivative of Eq.(4), $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we get:

$$r_n(x) = r'_{n-1}(x) + s_{n-1}(x) + r_0(x) r_{n-1}(x), \quad (5.1)$$

$$s_n(x) = s'_{n-1}(x) + s_0(x) r_{n-1}(x), \quad (5.2)$$

where for sufficiently large iteration number n , the “*asymptotic*” behavior of the procedure can be applied as:

$$\frac{r_{n-1}(x, \mathcal{E})}{s_{n-1}(x, \mathcal{E})} = \frac{r_n(x, \mathcal{E})}{s_n(x, \mathcal{E})} = \alpha(x, \mathcal{E}), \quad (6)$$

and which allows us, on the one hand, to calculate the energy eigenvalues by iterations and, on the other hand, to express the so-called polynomial solutions of Eq.(4).

Many interesting models are obtained by combining two fundamental models of quantum mechanics; namely the two-level system and the harmonic oscillator [15]. The Rabi Hamiltonian is one of the more studied ones [16, 17], and plays an important role in many areas of physics from condensed matter and biophysics to quantum optics. Due to the elegance of the model various aspects of the Hamiltonian have been studied analytically and numerically [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The complete Hamiltonian of a such system is of the form:

$$H = \hbar \omega a^\dagger a + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} \sigma_0 + \lambda (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) (a^\dagger + a), \quad (7)$$

where the parameter ω is the boson field frequency, $\hbar\Omega$ is the atomic level separation and λ is the atom–field coupling constant. Here $a^\dagger$ (*resp.* a) is a creation (*resp.* annihilation) operator for the field mode and the σ are the usual Pauli spin matrices $\sigma_x = \sigma_+ + \sigma_-$, $\sigma_y = -i(\sigma_+ - \sigma_-)$ and $\sigma_z = \sigma_0$. Note that the zero energy level was taken halfway between the two atomic levels, so that the unperturbed atomic energies are $\pm\frac{\hbar\Omega}{2}$. The four terms appearing in the interaction part of the Hamiltonian (7) have the following interpretation: σ_+a (*resp.* $\sigma_-a^\dagger$) represents an absorbed (*resp.* emitted) photon and an excited (*resp.* de-excited) atom from state $|1\rangle$ to $|2\rangle$, while $\sigma_+a^\dagger$ (*resp.* σ_-a) stands for one photon which is emitted (*resp.* absorbed) and an excited (*resp.* de-excited) atom.

In this present paper, we propose an alternative approach to study the Rabi Hamiltonian (7) based to the AIM procedure, to obtain both the energy eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_n$, the eigenfunctions $\chi(\xi; \mathcal{E})$ and a set of orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ explicitly constructed from the above procedure and known to be orthogonal polynomials of Bender–Dunne of the genus one. In particular, we show firstly that these orthogonal polynomials generated from the three–term recursion relation and the coefficients of the polynomial solutions deduced starting from AIM procedure are equivalent, and secondly that the orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ are prone with a nonpositive definite norm, unless the constraint $n \geq \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2 + 1$ is checked.

The paper proceeds as the following. In the next section we introduce a unitary transformation with an aim of making the Rabi Hamiltonian simpler. By applying AIM procedure, we deduce the coefficients of the polynomial solutions with the first five iterations. In section 3 we clarify, through an explicit construction, the relation between the calculated eigenfunctions and the orthogonal polynomials leading to the three-term recursion relation and which enables us to deduce the orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$. We show, in section 4, that the orthogonal polynomials are associated with a nonpositive norm γ_n and the last section is devoted to our final conclusion.

2 Solvability of the Rabi Hamiltonian

In Fock–Bargmann space, the system (7) is transformed into the third–order differential equation which is not easy to solve. Therefore, the first step to solve the system (7) is to reduce the order of the differential equation using some transformations. The structure of the Rabi Hamiltonian is more easily seen by applying the unitary transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= U^\dagger H U \\ &= a^\dagger a + \beta(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) + \lambda\sigma_0(a^\dagger + a),\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where $U \equiv U^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_+ + \sigma_- + \sigma_0)$. Here, $\hbar$ and ω are set to unity, and $\Omega = 2\beta$. By performing a transition to a Fock–Bargmann space $a^\dagger \rightarrow x$ and $a \rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}$, and writing the stationary Schrödinger equation for the two–component wave–function $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain a system of two first order linear differential equations for the functions $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ [19, 20]:

$$\frac{d\psi_1(x)}{dx} = \frac{\mathcal{E} - \lambda x}{x + \lambda} \psi_1(x) - \frac{\beta}{x + \lambda} \psi_2(x), \quad (9.1)$$

$$\frac{d\psi_2(x)}{dx} = -\frac{\beta}{x - \lambda} \psi_1(x) + \frac{\mathcal{E} + \lambda x}{x - \lambda} \psi_2(x), \quad (9.2)$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ are energy eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}$. The general solution of Eqs.(9) can be obtained by transforming them into a second–order form:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 - \lambda^2) \psi_1''(x) - [(\mathcal{E} - \lambda x - 1)(x - \lambda) + (x + \lambda)(\mathcal{E} + \lambda x)] \psi_1'(x) \\ - [\beta^2 - \mathcal{E}^2 + \lambda^2 x^2 - \lambda(x - \lambda)] \psi_1(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and substituting

$$x = \lambda(2\xi - 1), \quad \psi_1(x) = e^{-2\lambda^2\xi} \chi(\xi), \quad (11)$$

we obtain a second–order differential equation [19]:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(1 - \xi) \chi''(\xi) + [\lambda^2(4\xi^2 - 2\xi - 1) + \mathcal{E}(2\xi - 1) - \xi + 1] \chi'(\xi) \\ + [\lambda^4(3 - 4\xi) + 2\mathcal{E}\lambda^2(1 - 2\xi) + \beta^2 - \mathcal{E}^2] \chi(\xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now this equation is similar to Eq.(4) and then it is in suitable form for application of AIM procedure; the initial $r_0(\xi)$ and $s_0(\xi)$ functions are given:

$$r_0(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^2(4\xi^2 - 2\xi - 1) + \mathcal{E}(2\xi - 1) - \xi + 1}{\xi(\xi - 1)}, \quad (13.1)$$

$$s_0(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^4(3 - 4\xi) + 2\mathcal{E}\lambda^2(1 - 2\xi) + \beta^2 - \mathcal{E}^2}{\xi(\xi - 1)}. \quad (13.2)$$

We may calculate $r_n(\xi)$ and $s_n(\xi)$ using a sequence of Eqs.(5) and the calculated energy eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_n$ by the mean of Eq.(6) should be independent of the choice of the variable ξ .

We report below the factored form of the first five iterations of Eq.(12) which lead to the coefficients of the polynomial solutions. By convention n represents the iteration number, while d refers to the degree of the polynomial solutions. The coefficients $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ are polynomials in the energy variable $\mathcal{E}_n$ with an even higher–degree $2n + 2$.

Note that the energy eigenvalue index is the same as the iteration number.

$$\begin{aligned}
n=1, \quad d=2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{12} &= 16\lambda^4 (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
d=1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{11} &= 8\lambda^2 (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{10} &= \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
n=2, \quad d=3, \quad \mathcal{C}_{23} &= 64\lambda^6 (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
d=2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{22} &= 48\lambda^4 (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{21} &= 12\lambda^2 (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{20} &= \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
n=3, \quad d=4, \quad \mathcal{C}_{34} &= 256\lambda^8 (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
d=3, \quad \mathcal{C}_{33} &= 256\lambda^6 (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{32} &= 96\lambda^4 (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{31} &= 16\lambda^2 (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{30} &= \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
n=4, \quad d=5, \quad \mathcal{C}_{45} &= 1024\lambda^{10} (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
&\quad (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
d=4, \quad \mathcal{C}_{44} &= 1280\lambda^8 (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
&\quad (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=3, \quad \mathcal{C}_{43} &= 640\lambda^6 (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{42} &= 160\lambda^4 (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{41} &= 20\lambda^2 (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{40} &= \mathcal{Y}_4(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
n=5, \quad d=6, \quad \mathcal{C}_{56} &= 4096\lambda^{12} (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
&\quad (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
d=5, \quad \mathcal{C}_{55} &= 1280\lambda^{10} (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
&\quad (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-1 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=4, \quad \mathcal{C}_{54} &= 3840\lambda^8 (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \\
&\quad (-2 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=3, \quad \mathcal{C}_{53} &= 1280\lambda^6 (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-3 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{52} &= 240\lambda^4 (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) (-4 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{51} &= 24\lambda^2 (-5 + \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2) \mathcal{Y}_4(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) \\
d=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{50} &= \mathcal{Y}_5(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

These polynomials have some interesting properties. For example, a polynomial set $\mathcal{Y}_m(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ can be generated successively for each a new iteration with the constraint $n = d + m$, where $0 < m \leq n$. However if $n = d$ (i.e. $m = 0$), then the associated polynomial solution is:

$$\mathcal{Y}_0(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta) = \mathcal{E}^2 - 2\lambda^2\mathcal{E} - \beta^2 - 3\lambda^4. \quad (14)$$

The factor associated to the coefficient $\mathcal{C}_{n,n+1}$ is $(4\lambda^2)^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n0} \equiv \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$, with $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, we will see that the polynomials $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ lead to the Bender–Dunne orthogonal polynomials as reviewed in the next section.

3 Bender–Dunne orthogonal polynomials

The search for a power series solution of Eq.(12), $\chi(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(\xi)$, can be explicitly generated by means of the series expansion leading to the three-term recursion relation.

Using the identities:

$$\chi(\xi) = \xi^q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n, \quad (15.1)$$

$$\chi'(\xi) = \xi^q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+q) a_n \xi^{n-1}, \quad (15.2)$$

$$\chi''(\xi) = \xi^q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+q)(n+q-1) a_n \xi^{n-2}, \quad (15.3)$$

where the exponent q and the coefficients a_n are still undetermined, and by substituting them into Eq.(12), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4\lambda^2 (n+q-\lambda^2-\mathcal{E}) a_n \xi^{n+q+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [3\lambda^4 - \mathcal{E}^2 + \beta^2 + 2\mathcal{E}\lambda^2 \\ & + (n+q)(2\mathcal{E} - \lambda^2 - n - q)] a_n \xi^{n+q} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+q)(n+q-\lambda^2) a_n \xi^{n+q-1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the coefficients of the left-hand side of Eq.(16) must vanish individually. The lowest power of ξ appearing in Eq.(16) is ξ^{q-1} , for $n=0$ in the last summation. The requirement that the coefficients vanish yields the *indicial equation* $q(q-\lambda^2)a_0=0$; hence, we must require either $q=0$ or $q=\lambda^2$, and $a_0 \neq 0$. Note that if the first summation vanishes, the energy eigenvalues satisfy the constraint:

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,q}(\lambda) = n+q-\lambda^2. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, if we replace $n \rightarrow j$ in the second summation and $(n-1) \rightarrow j$ in the last (they are independent summations), this results in the two-term recursion relation:

$$a_{j+1} = -\frac{\beta^2}{(j+q+1)(j+q+1-\lambda^2)} a_j. \quad (18)$$

Substituting j by n and choosing the indicial equation root $q=0$, the mathematical induction leads us to write:

$$a_n = \frac{(-1)^n \beta^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda^2)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda^2)} a_0, \quad (19)$$

with $a_0 = 1$. The solution of Eq.(12), following Eq.(1)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\xi; \mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(\xi) \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \beta^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda^2)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda^2)} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}) \xi^n, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

can be considered as the generating function for the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$. However, for positive integer values Λ , the series expansion in Eq.(20) are truncated when $\mathcal{E}$ is a zero of $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E})$. We know that $\Gamma(n+1-\lambda^2)$ has simple poles at $n = \lambda^2-1, \lambda^2-2, \lambda^2-3, \dots$, [24] and taking into account the constraint (17) with $q = 0$, leading to the upper limit for n , i.e. $n_{\max} \equiv \Lambda = \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2 > 0$. Indeed, this can be observed in the coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{nd}$ of the polynomial solutions like it was reported above. Therefore, the corresponding exact eigenfunctions are given:

$$\chi(\xi; \mathcal{E}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\Lambda} \frac{(-1)^n \beta^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda^2)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda^2)} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}) \xi^n. \quad (21)$$

By substituting Eq.(21) into Eq.(12) leads to the following three-term recursion relation for $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$:

$$4\lambda^2(n-\mathcal{E}-\lambda^2)\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{E}) + [(n-\mathcal{E}-\lambda^2)(\mathcal{E}-3\lambda^2-n)-\beta^2]\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E}) + 4\lambda^2(n-\mathcal{E}-\lambda^2)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}) = 0, \quad (22)$$

with the normalization condition $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$. The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ vanishes for $n \geq \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2 + 1$ as occurred in the third term of Eq.(22). The recursion relation (22) generates a set of polynomials, where the first five of them are reported below in table 1.

n	$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$	$\mathcal{P}_n(\lambda)^1$
1	$1-\lambda^2$	$4\lambda^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)$
2	$2-\lambda^2$	$32\lambda^4 + 4(3\beta^2 - 8)\lambda^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta^2 - 4)$
3	$3-\lambda^2$	$384\lambda^6 + 16(11\beta^2 - 54)\lambda^4 + 8(3\beta^4 - 29\beta^2 + 54)\lambda^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta^2 - 4)(\beta^2 - 9)$
4	$4-\lambda^2$	$6144\lambda^8 + 128(25\beta^2 - 192)\lambda^6 + 16(35\beta^4 - 542\beta^2 + 1728)\lambda^4 + 8(5\beta^6 - 115\beta^4 + 722\beta^2 - 1152)\lambda^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta^2 - 4)(\beta^2 - 9)(\beta^2 - 16)$
5	$5-\lambda^2$	$122880\lambda^{10} + 512(137\beta^2 - 1500)\lambda^8 + 64(225\beta^4 - 5036\beta^2 + 24000)\lambda^6 + 16(85\beta^6 - 2867\beta^4 + 27518\beta^2 - 72000)\lambda^4 + 4(15\beta^8 - 670\beta^6 + 9551\beta^4 - 49216\beta^2 + 72000)\lambda^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)(\beta^2 - 4)(\beta^2 - 9)(\beta^2 - 16)(\beta^2 - 25)$

Table 1: Bender–Dunne orthogonal polynomials for Rabi Hamiltonian and the associated energy eigenvalues

These orthogonal polynomials are exactly similar to those obtained by the Juddian isolated method and agree with the first roots of the Kuś series [18, 19]. On the other word, the system has energy $\mathcal{E}_n = n - \lambda^2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, only if the atomic-level separation 2β and the boson–atom field coupling λ obey condition $\mathcal{P}_n(\lambda) = 0$. Note also that these orthogonal polynomials can be deduced starting from the coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{nd}$ of the polynomial solutions $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ with the same associated energy eigenvalues (17).

4 Norms of orthogonal polynomials

As the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ are orthogonal of a discrete variable $\mathcal{E}_n$, it is possible to determine their squared norms. The procedure consists to apply Eq.(3); i.e. multiplying the recursion relation (22) by $\mathcal{E}^{n-1}\rho(\mathcal{E})$, where $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ is the weight function, and to integrate with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ using the fact that both $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}^k$, $k < n$, are orthogonal. We obtain:

$$\gamma_n = 2\lambda^2 \frac{n - \lambda^2}{n + \lambda^2} \gamma_{n-1}, \quad (23)$$

with $\gamma_n = p_n^2$ and $\gamma_0 = 1$. It is obvious that by mathematical induction, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= \prod_{k=1}^n 2\lambda^2 \frac{k - \lambda^2}{k + \lambda^2} \gamma_0 \\ &= 2^n \lambda^{2n} \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)_n}{(1 + \lambda^2)_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1)$ are the often-used Pochhammer symbol [24], with $(a)_0 = 1$. Using the well-known identity $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}$ [24], we have:

$$\gamma_n = 2^n \lambda^{2n} \frac{\sin \pi \lambda^2}{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda^2) \Gamma(1 + \lambda^2) \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 - \lambda^2)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \lambda^2)}. \quad (25)$$

This identity reveals an important result that the orthogonal polynomials are associated with a nonpositive definite norm represented by $\Gamma(n + 1 + \lambda^2)$. However, it shows in the Γ -function of the numerator that γ_n has in the complex plan poles which are given at the negative integers $N = 0, -1, -2, \dots$, (or equivalently: $n = \lambda^2 - 1, \lambda^2 - 2, \dots$), leading to the upper limit $\Lambda = \mathcal{E} + \lambda^2 > 0$ as conjectured above. Consequently, γ_n vanishes for each $n \geq \Lambda + 1$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that there exists another approach of introducing the Bender–Dunne polynomials which characterizes the quasi-exact solvable problems. The model given here is related to the AIM procedure and we have taken a new look at the solution of the Rabi Hamiltonian in order to generate its energy eigenvalues as well as the eigenfunctions.

The main aim of this article was to consider the possibility of generating the Bender–Dunne polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ via two different but equivalent ways. The first way, considered as the standard method, consists in applying the eigenfunctions to the three-term recursion relation, while the second enables us to generate them directly starting from the coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{nd}$ of the polynomial solutions $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ deduced by AIM procedure. Consequently, it proves that $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ are similar to $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathcal{E}; \lambda, \beta)$ if

the system has the associated energy eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_n = n - \lambda^2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We have also shown that the orthogonal polynomials arising from a quasi-exactly solvable Rabi model is associated with a nonpositive definite norm whose the iteration number n exceeds a critical value Λ mentioned above, i.e. $n \geq \Lambda + 1$. Consequently, the quasi-exact energy eigenvalues of the Rabi Hamiltonian are the zeros of the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E})$.

For other applications, we believe that similar results can be obtained via the approach worked out here to the various atomic systems such as Jaynes–Cummings and $\mathcal{E} \otimes \epsilon$ Jahn–Teller Hamiltonians.

References

- [1] A. Turbiner, Sov. Phys., J. E. T. P., **67** (1988) 230.
- [2] A. Turbiner, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 467.
- [3] A. Morozov, A. Perelomov, A. Roslyi, M. Shifman, A. Turbiner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5** (1990) 803.
- [4] A. G. Ushveridze, ” *Quasi-Exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*”, IoP, Bristol (1993).
- [5] C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1997) 6.
- [6] A. Krajewska, A. Ushveridze, Z. Walczak, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12** (1997) 1131.
- [7] A. Krajewska, A. Ushveridze, Z. Walczak, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12** (1997) 1225.
- [8] F. Finkel, A. González–Lopez, M. A. Rodríguez, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 3954.
- [9] C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, M. Moshe, Phys. Rev. A **55** (1997) 2625.
- [10] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, N. Saad, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 11807.
- [11] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, N. Saad, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 1147.
- [12] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, N. Saad, Phys. Lett. A **340** (2005) 388.
- [13] R. L. Hall, N. Saad, K. D. Sen, arXiv: math-ph/0912.3445.
- [14] A. J. Sous, Chin. J. Phys. **44** (2006) 167.
- [15] M. Orszag, ” *Quantum Optics*”, sec. ed., Springer, Berlin (2008).
- [16] L. Allen, J. H. Eberly, ” *Optical Resonance and Two–Level Atoms*”, Wiley, New York (1975).

- [17] A. J. Leggett, S. Chabravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg, W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59** (1987) 1.
- [18] M. Kuś, M. Lewenstein, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **19** (1986) 305.
- [19] R. Koç, H. Tütüncüler, M. Koca, arXiv: quant-ph/0410178.
- [20] M. Szopa, G. Mys, A. Ceulemans, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 5402.
- [21] M. Wagner, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17** (1984) 3409.
- [22] N. Debergh, A. B. Klimov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 4057.
- [23] M. Kuś, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 1343.
- [24] G. Alfken, " *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*", Academic Press, New York (1970).